

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/634,639 | LEVERETTE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gay Ann Spahn | 3635 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gay Ann Spahn, Patent Examiner.

(3) _____.

(2) Nick A. Nichols, Attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Spahn telephoned Attorney Nichols to inquire as to whether a response had been filed to the Final Office Action mailed on 23 February 2006. Attorney Nichols indicated that no response has been filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Gay Ann Spahn
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)